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• The Actor model is an architectural pattern designed to support high-scale concurrency without the need for locking constructs and with simple memory safety rules
• This talk discusses how to add support for the Actor concurrency model to the OSGi environment
• We want to retain the composition of OSGi services as the basic model for creating applications while at the same time allowing application developers to schedule concurrent execution with an actor runtime, rather than to use threads and locks
• We show a balanced way of combining both types of computation, each structured around a modularity construct with different properties: bundles for the blocking parts calling services, and actors for the non-blocking parts exchanging messages
OVERVIEW

• Motivation
• The actor model
• Actors in Java
• Example: the dining philosophers
• Implementing the example
• Demo
• Findings and open ends
• More and more asynchronous concurrency in applications
• Primitives like PushStreams, Promises are functional and do not model state well
• Communication between stateful services with multiple threads and locks is hard to get right
• An alternative is asynchronous message passing
  – Which enables safe composition under concurrency
  – Of which actor systems are a pure embodiment
• So could actors be a complement to OSGi’s modularity mechanisms to provide all-round concurrency capabilities?
THE ACTOR MODEL

- Actors process messages
- Message processing is defined by a behavior consisting of sequential steps
- In a step, an actor can send a message to an address
- In a step, an actor can create another actor and receive its address
- An actor can specify a new behavior to be used to process the next message
ACTOR IMPLEMENTATIONS (JAVA)

• Actor4J, Akka, μJavaActors, Jumi, Kilim, Kontraktor, Orbit, Quasar, …
• We chose Akka for our investigation
  – Feature-rich
  – Based on Scala, but with Java bindings
  – Actively developed and supported
THE DINING PHILOSOPHERS

• Each philosopher alternates between thinking and eating
• Each philosopher needs to wait for the two adjacent chopsticks to eat
• Before starting to eat, the philosopher shares new wisdom
• After eating, the philosopher puts down both chopsticks
SYSTEM COMPOSITION

Chopstick
Factory Service

Philosopher
Factory Service
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Dinner
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WisdomGenerator
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System
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@Component(immediate = true)
public class Dinner {
    @Activate
    public Dinner(
        @Reference ActorSystem system,
        @Reference Table table,
        DinnerConfig config) {
}
COMPOSITION: PHILOSOPHER

@Component
public class PhilosopherImpl implements Philosopher {
    @Activate
    public PhilosopherImpl(PhilosopherConfig config,
                             @Reference WisdomGenerator wisdom) {

```
SYSTEM COMMUNICATION

- **Chopstick**: Actor, Factory Service
  - Events: Take, Taken, Put, Busy

- **Philosopher**: Actor, Factory Service
  - Events: Take, Taken, Put, Busy

- **WisdomGenerator**: Service
  - Method: generate()

- **Scribe**: Actor, Factory Service
  - Method: output()

- **Table**: Actor, Factory Service
  - Method: spawn

- **Dinner**: Initial component, config
  - Method: spawn

- **System**: Actor runtime, death management
public Dinner(
    @Reference ActorSystem<SpawnProtocol> system,
    @Reference Table tables,
    DinnerConfig config) throws Exception {
    table = spawn(system, tables.create(...),
        tableName).toCompletableFuture().get();
    ...
}
@Component
public class TableImpl implements Table {
    @Activate
    public TableImpl(
        @Reference Chopstick chop,
        @Reference Philosopher phil,
        @Reference Scribe scribe)
    ...  // more code

    onMessage(Host.class, (ctx, msg) -> {
        range(0, diners.size()).mapToObj(i -> {
            String name = "chopstick-" + i;
            return ctx.spawn(chop.create(), name);
        });
    });
@Activate
public Dinner(...) {
    table = spawn(system, tables.create(diners), tableName).toCompletableFuture().get();
    table.tell(new Table.Host(howLong));
}
@Component
public class ChopstickImpl implements Chopstick {
    private Behavior<Command> available() {
        .onMessage(Take.class, (ctx, msg) -> {
            msg.replyTo.tell(new Taken(ctx.getSelf()));
            return taken(msg.from);
        });
    ...

    private Behavior<Command> taken(ActorRef<?> owner) {
        .onMessage(Take.class, msg -> !msg.from.equals(owner), (ctx, msg) -> {
            msg.replyTo.tell(new Busy(ctx.getSelf()));
            return taken(owner);
        });
    ...
}
@Component
public class PhilosopherImpl implements Philosopher {
    private Behavior<Command> waitingForOtherChopstick(
        .onMessage(HandleTaken.class, (ctx, msg) -> {
            CompletableFuture.supplyAsync(wisdom::generate)
                .thenAccept(res -> scribe.tell(new Scribe.Write(self, res))));
FINDINGS

• It is possible to compose a system from actors and OSGi services
  – Injection works well
• Modular encapsulation of actors
  – Separation of APIs and implementation also for actors
• All communication to and from the non-actor world is asynchronous
  – Messages, promises, anyone can send messages
• Care is required to handle OSGi dynamics
  – Actor “death watch” synchronized with the service lifecycle
OPEN ENDS

• More in RFP 195
  – Persistence (for resilience)
  – Actor supervision (for error handling)
  – Better fusion of actors and services
    • e.g. avoid some boilerplate code
EVALUATE THE SESSIONS
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