

RCP for Industrial Automation and Real Time Control

S. A. Robenalt
steve@webcircuit.com

Project Definition

- Startup company
 - Developing a new manufacturing process
 - Company has extensive industry experience
- Machine Control via standard controllers
 - PLCs (Programmable Logic Controllers)
 - Lab Instruments and Measurement devices
- Need software to run the operations
 - Define (and refine) the processes
 - Control, display, and monitor the processes

State of the Industry

- Custom applications for process control
 - Usually built with Visual Basic
 - PLC interface via OLE for Process Control (OPC)
 - User interaction via PC or dedicated control device
- Java is still immature in the market
 - Real-Time Java not widely deployed
 - Hardware (device) interfaces not there yet

So Why RCP?

- Experienced developer
 - Extensive background with Java and Eclipse
- Integrates well with platform native code
 - Important for device communications
- OSGi module layer
 - Provides better module control
 - Declarative services option for devices
- EMF for core program logic
 - Rapid iterative development of internal models

Application Architecture

- Process Controller is an RCP Application
 - Contains ~40 custom plugins and ~40 RCP plugins
 - Core models built with EMF
 - EMF Model instances are configuration files
 - Realtime execution is single dispatch multi-thread
 - Dispatcher thread runs at 1 ms interval
 - Worker threads are JSR 166 ThreadPoolExecutors
 - User Interface based on UI forms, SWT, JFace
 - Push most disk interaction to host platform

Eclipse and RCP Frameworks

- Use EMF to build 5 core models
 - Recipe, Process, Operation, Facility, Visualization
 - EMF XMI persistence to store models
- UI built from SWT, JFace, UI Forms
 - Controls wired primarily with databinding
 - Not yet declarative, but working toward it
 - Custom graphical displays for monitoring
- Use BIRT for offline reporting
 - Process reports on server platform, not controller

Realtime Performance

- Sequencing via central dispatch thread
 - Start each task as close as possible to exact time
 - Most tasks complete within 1-2 ms of end time
- Worker threads are pooled
 - Created once, used for many tasks
 - Single thread often necessary for native code
- Garbage collection minimized
 - Pool and reuse objects where possible
 - GC pauses have not been significant factor

Development Process

- Generally agile methodology
 - 2 primary developers
 - 1 tester/developer
 - 1 manager/tester
 - Extensive testing in simulated mode
 - Final testing is live, takes a long time
- New versions produced every 2-4 weeks
- Many issues addressed by configuration

Problems and Workarounds

- Primary developers had no access to hardware
 - Simulate hardware where possible
 - Short test programs to validate hardware interface
- Threading issue with device interface
 - Use SWT-style execution model (single task thread)
- EMF issues with ids
 - Spaces in variable names broke EMF ids
 - Numeric EMF ids must be unique (CCEX)
 - Control EMF ids – use unique names only

Future Directions

- Migrate to fully declarative process definitions
 - Partially implemented for process modeling
 - User Interaction needs more work
- Push functionality to supporting applications
 - Database and reporting server
 - Model editor for system configuration
 - Remote monitor for support personnel
- OSGi Declarative services
 - Need to have better control of devices

What about the code?

- Will it be released?
 - We have verbal agreement to allow us to do so
 - Terms are not yet determined
- Will it be commercial or open source?
 - Open source if it makes sense to do so
 - Need to identify a community to do it right
 - Some relevant specifications are not open
- If open source, under what license?
 - Most likely EPL or BSD style license

Questions?

Thanks for your time!